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THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY
MODEL ARBITRATION RULES

RULE 1: OBJECTIVE AND APPLICATION

1.1 These Rules are to be read consistently with the Arbitration Act 1996 (the Act), with common expressions having the same meaning. Appendix I contains definitions of terms. Section numbers given in these Rules are references to the Act.

1.2 The objective of the Rules is to provide for the fair, impartial, speedy, cost-effective and binding resolution of construction disputes, with each party having a reasonable opportunity to put his case and to deal with that of his opponent. The parties and the arbitrator are to do all things necessary to achieve this objective: see Sections 1 (General principles), 33 (General duty of the tribunal) and 40 (General duty of parties).

1.3 After an arbitrator has been appointed under these Rules, the parties may not, without the agreement of the arbitrator, amend the Rules or impose procedures in conflict with them.

1.4 The arbitrator has all the powers and is subject to all the duties under the Act except where expressly modified by the Rules.

1.5 Sections of the Act which need to be read with the Rules are printed with the text. Other Sections referred to are printed in Appendix II.

1.6 These Rules apply where:

(a) a single arbitrator is to be appointed, and
(b) the seat of the arbitration is in England and Wales or Northern Ireland.

1.7 These Rules do not exclude the powers of the Court in respect of arbitral proceedings, nor any agreement between the parties concerning those powers.
RULE 2: BEGINNING AND APPOINTMENT

2.1 Arbitral proceedings are begun in respect of a dispute when one party serves on the other a written notice of arbitration identifying the dispute and requiring him to agree to the appointment of an arbitrator; but see Rule 3.6 and Section 13 (Application of Limitation Acts).

2.2 The party serving notice of arbitration should name any persons he proposes as arbitrator with the notice or separately. The other party should respond and may propose other names.

2.3 If the parties fail to agree on the name of an arbitrator within 14 days (or any agreed extension) after:
   (i) the notice of arbitration is served, or
   (ii) a previously appointed arbitrator ceases to hold office for any reason,

either party may apply for the appointment of an arbitrator to the person so empowered.

2.4 In the event of a failure in the procedure for the appointment of an arbitrator under Rule 2.3 and in the absence of agreement, Section 18 (Failure of appointment procedure) applies. In this event the court shall seek to achieve the objectives in Rules 2.6 to 2.8.

2.5 The arbitrator’s appointment takes effect upon his agreement to act or his appointment under Rule 2, whether or not his terms have been accepted.

2.6 Where two or more related arbitral proceedings on the same project fall under separate arbitration agreements (whether or not between the same parties) any person who is required to appoint an arbitrator must give due consideration as to whether
   (i) the same arbitrator, or
   (ii) a different arbitrator

should be appointed in respect of those arbitral proceedings and should appoint the same arbitrator unless sufficient grounds are shown for not doing so.

2.7 Where different persons are required to appoint an arbitrator in relation to arbitral proceedings covered by Rule 2.6, due consideration includes consulting with every other such person. Where an arbitrator has already been appointed in relation to one such arbitral proceeding, due consideration includes considering the appointment of that arbitrator.

2.8 As between any two or more persons who are required to appoint, the obligation to give due consideration under Rules 2.6 or 2.7 may be discharged by making arrangements for some other person or body to make the appointment in relation to disputes covered by Rule 2.6.

2.9 The provisions in Rules 2 and 3 concerning related arbitral proceedings and disputes and joinder apply in addition to other such provisions contained in any contract between the parties in question.

Sections 13 and 18 are printed in Appendix II.

RULE 3: JOINDER

3.1 A notice of arbitration may include two or more disputes if they fall under the same arbitration agreement.

3.2 A party served with a notice of arbitration may, at any time before an arbitrator is appointed, himself give a notice of arbitration in respect of any other disputes which fall under the same arbitration agreement and those other disputes shall be consolidated with the arbitral proceedings.

3.3 After an arbitrator has been appointed, either party may give a further notice of arbitration to the other and to the arbitrator referring any other dispute which falls under the same arbitration agreement to those arbitral proceedings. If the other party does not consent to the other dispute being so referred, the arbitrator may, as he considers appropriate, order either:
   (i) that the other dispute should be referred to and consolidated with the same arbitral proceedings, or
   (ii) that the other dispute should not be so referred.

3.4 If the arbitrator makes an order under Rule 3.3(ii), Rules 2.3 and 2.4 then apply.

3.5 In relation to a notice of arbitration in respect of any other dispute under Rules 3.2 or 3.3, the arbitrator is empowered to:
   (i) decide any matter which may be a condition precedent to bringing the other dispute before the arbitrator;
   (ii) abrogate any condition precedent to the bringing of arbitral proceedings in respect of the other dispute.

3.6 Arbitral proceedings in respect of any other dispute are begun when the notice of arbitration for that other dispute is served: see Section 13 (Application of Limitation Acts).

3.7 Where the same arbitrator is appointed in two or more related arbitral proceedings on the same project each of which involves some common issue, whether or not involving the same parties, the arbitrator may, if he considers it appropriate, order the concurrent hearing of any two or more such proceedings or of any claim or issue arising in such proceedings: see Section 35 and see also Rule 2.9.
3.8 If the arbitrator orders concurrent hearings he may give such other directions as are necessary or desirable for the purpose of such hearings but shall, unless the parties otherwise agree, deliver separate awards in each of such proceedings, see also Rule 2.9.

3.9 Where the same arbitrator is appointed in two or more arbitral proceedings each of which involves some common issue, whether or not involving the same parties, the arbitrator may, if all the parties so agree, order that any two or more such proceedings shall be consolidated.

3.10 If the arbitrator orders the consolidation of two or more arbitral proceedings he may give such other directions as are appropriate for the purpose of such consolidated proceedings and shall, unless the parties otherwise agree, deliver a single award which shall be final and binding on all the parties to the consolidated proceedings.

3.11 Where an arbitrator has ordered concurrent hearings or consolidation under the foregoing rules he may at any time revoke any orders so made and may give such further orders or directions as are appropriate for the separate hearing and determination of the matters in issue.

3.12 Where two or more arbitral proceedings are ordered to be heard concurrently or to be consolidated, the arbitrator may exercise any or all of the powers in these Rules either separately or jointly in relation to the proceedings to which such order relates.

Section 35 is printed in Appendix II.

RULE 4: PARTICULAR POWERS

4.1 The arbitrator has the power set out in Section 30 (1) (Competence of the tribunal to rule on its own jurisdiction). This includes power to rule on what matters have been submitted to arbitration.

4.2 The arbitrator has the powers set out in Section 37 (1) (Power to appoint experts, legal advisers or assessors). This includes power to:

(i) appoint experts or legal advisers to report to him and to the parties;
(ii) appoint assessors to assist him on technical matters.

4.3 The arbitrator has the powers set out in Section 38 (4) to (6) (General powers exercisable by the tribunal). This includes power to give directions for:

(a) the inspection, photographing, preservation, custody or detention of property by the arbitrator, an expert or a party;
(b) ordering samples to be taken from, or any observation be made of or experiment conducted upon, property;
(c) a party or witness to be examined on oath or affirmation and to administer any necessary oath or take any necessary affirmation;
(d) the preservation for the purposes of the proceedings of any evidence in the custody or control of a party.

4.4 The arbitrator may order the preservation of any work, goods or materials even though they form part of work which is continuing.

4.5 The arbitrator may direct the manner in which, by whom and when any test or experiment is to be carried out. The arbitrator may himself observe any test or experiment and in the absence of one or both parties provided that they have the opportunity to be present.

4.6 The arbitrator may order a claimant to give security for the whole or part of the costs likely to be incurred by his opponent in defending a claim if satisfied that the claimant is unlikely to be able to pay those costs if the claim is unsuccessful. In exercising this power, the arbitrator shall consider all the circumstances including the strength of the claim and any defence, and the stage at which the application is made. This power is subject to Section 38 (3).

4.7 The arbitrator may give reasons for any decision under Rule 4.6 if the parties so request and the arbitrator considers it appropriate.

4.8 The arbitrator has the power to order a claimant to give security for the arbitrator’s costs: see Section 38(3).

4.9 If, without showing sufficient cause, a claimant fails to comply with an order for security for costs under Rule 4.6, the arbitrator may make a peremptory order to the same effect prescribing such time for compliance as he considers appropriate. If the peremptory order is not complied with, the arbitrator may make an award dismissing the claim; see Rules 11.4 and 11.6.

THE ACT

S.30. (1) Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, the arbitral tribunal may rule on its own substantive jurisdiction, that is, as to—

(a) whether there is a valid arbitration agreement,
(b) whether the tribunal is properly constituted, and
(c) what matters have been submitted to arbitration in accordance with the arbitration agreement.

(2) Any such ruling may be challenged by any available arbitral process of appeal or review or in accordance with the provisions of this Part.
5.3 Whether or not there are oral proceedings the arbitrator may determine the manner in which the parties and their witnesses are to be examined.

5.4 The arbitrator is not bound by the strict rules of evidence and shall determine the admissibility, relevance or weight of any material sought to be tendered on any matters of fact or opinion by any party.

5.5 The arbitrator may himself take the initiative in ascertaining the facts and the law.

5.6 The arbitrator may fix the time within which any order or direction is to be complied with and may extend or reduce the time at any stage.

5.7 In any of the following cases:

(a) an application for security for costs;

(b) an application to strike out for want of prosecution;

(c) an application for an order for provisional relief;

(d) any other instance where he considers it appropriate,

the arbitrator shall require that evidence be put on affidavit or that some other formal record of the evidence be made.

THE ACT

5.34 (1) It shall be for the tribunal to decide all procedural and evidential matters, subject to the right of the parties to agree any matter.

(2) Procedural and evidential matters include

(a) when and where any part of the proceedings is to be held;

(b) the language or languages to be used in the proceedings and whether translations of any relevant documents are to be supplied;

(c) whether any and if so what form of written statements of claim and defence are to be used, when these shall be supplied and the extent to which such statements can be later amended;

(d) whether any and if so which documents or classes of documents should be disclosed between and produced by the parties at what stage;

(e) whether any and if so what questions should be put to and answered by the respective parties and when and in what form this should be done;

(f) whether to apply strict rules of evidence (or any other rules) as to the admissibility, relevance or weight of any material (oral, written or other) sought to be tendered on any matters of fact or opinion, and the time, manner and form in which such material should be exchanged and presented;

(g) whether and to what extent the tribunal should itself take the initiative in ascertaining the facts and the law;

(h) whether and to what extent there should be oral or written evidence or submissions.

(3) The tribunal may fix the time within which any directions given by it are to be complied with, and may if it thinks fit extend the time so fixed (whether or not it has expired).

RULE 5: PROCEDURE AND EVIDENCE

5.1 Subject to these Rules, the arbitrator shall decide all procedural and evidential matters including those set out in Section 34 (2) (Procedural and evidential matters), subject to the right of the parties to agree any matter. This includes the power to direct:

(a) when and where any part of the proceedings is to be held;

(b) the languages to be used in the proceedings and whether translations are to be supplied;

(c) the use of written statements and the extent to which they can be later amended.

5.2 The arbitrator shall determine which documents or classes of documents should be disclosed between and produced by the parties and at what stage.
RULE 6: FORM OF PROCEDURE AND DIRECTIONS

6.1 As soon as he is appointed the arbitrator must consider the form of procedure which is most appropriate to the dispute; see Section 33 (General duty of the tribunal).

6.2 For this purpose the parties shall, as soon as practicable after the arbitrator is appointed, provide to each other and to the arbitrator:

(a) a note stating the nature of the dispute with an estimate of the amounts in issue;
(b) a view as to the need for and length of any hearing;
(c) proposals as to the form of procedure appropriate to the dispute.

6.3 The arbitrator shall convene a procedural meeting with the parties or their representatives at which, having regard to any information that may have been submitted under Rule 6.2, he shall give a direction as to the procedure to be followed. The direction may:

(a) adopt the procedure in Rules 7, 8 or 9;
(b) adopt any part of one or more of these procedures;
(c) adopt any other procedure which he considers to be appropriate;
(d) impose time limits

and may be varied or amended by the arbitrator from time to time.

6.4 The arbitrator shall give such directions as he considers appropriate in accordance with the procedure adopted. He shall also give such other directions under these Rules as he considers appropriate: see particularly Rules 4, 5 and 13.4.

6.5 In deciding what directions are appropriate the arbitrator shall have regard to any advisory procedure and give effect to any supplementary procedure issued for use under any contract to which the dispute relates.

6.6 The matters under Rules 6.3 and 6.4 may be dealt with without a meeting if the parties so agree and the arbitrator considers a meeting to be unnecessary.

Section 33 is printed with Rule 1.

RULE 7: SHORT HEARING

7.1 This procedure is appropriate where the matters in dispute are to be determined principally by the arbitrator inspecting work, materials, machinery or the like.

7.2 The parties shall, either at the same time or in sequence as the arbitrator may direct, submit written statements of their cases, including any documents and statements of witnesses relied on.

7.3 There shall be a hearing of not more than one day at which each party will have a reasonable opportunity to address the matters in dispute. The arbitrator’s inspection may take place before or after the hearing or may be combined with it. The parties may agree to extend the hearing.

7.4 The arbitrator may form his own opinion on the matters in dispute and need not inform the parties of his opinion before delivering his award.

7.5 Either party may adduce expert evidence but may recover any costs so incurred only if the arbitrator decides that such evidence was necessary for coming to his decision.

7.6 The arbitrator shall make his award within one month of the last of the foregoing steps or within such further time as he may require and notify to the parties.

7.7 The recovery of costs is subject to Rule 13.4: see Section 65 (Power to limit recoverable costs).

Section 65 is printed with Rule 13.
RULE 8: DOCUMENTS ONLY

8.1 This procedure is appropriate where there is to be no hearing, for instance, because the issues do not require oral evidence, or because the sums in dispute do not warrant the cost of a hearing.

8.2 The parties shall, either at the same time or in sequence as the arbitrator may direct, submit written statements of their cases including:

(a) an account of the relevant facts or opinions relied on;

(b) statements of witnesses concerning those facts or opinions, signed or otherwise confirmed by the witness;

(c) the remedy or relief sought, for instance, a sum of money with interest.

8.3 Each party may submit a statement in reply to that of the other party.

8.4 After reading the parties’ written statements, the arbitrator may:

(a) put questions to or request a further written statement from either party;

(b) direct that there be a hearing of not more than one day at which he may put questions to the parties or to any witness. In this event the parties will also have a reasonable opportunity to comment on any additional information given to the arbitrator.

8.5 The arbitrator shall make his award within one month of the last of the foregoing steps, or within such further time as he may require and notify to the parties.

8.6 The recovery of costs is subject to Rule 13.4: see Section 65 (Power to limit recoverable costs).

Section 65 is printed with Rule 13

RULE 9: FULL PROCEDURE

9.1 Where neither the Documents Only nor the Short Procedure is appropriate, the Full Procedure should be adopted, subject to such modification as is appropriate to the particular matters in issue.

9.2 The parties shall exchange statements of claim and defence in accordance with the following guidelines:

(a) each statement should contain the facts and matters of opinion which are intended to be established by evidence and may include a statement of any relevant point of law which will be contended for;

(b) a statement should contain sufficient particulars to enable the other party to answer each allegation without recourse to general denials;

(c) a statement may include or refer to evidence to be adduced if this will assist in defining the issues to be determined;

(d) the reliefs or remedies sought, for instance, specific monetary losses, must be stated in such a way that they can be answered or admitted;

(e) all statements should adopt a common system of numbering or identification of sections to facilitate analysis of issues. Particulars given in schedule form should anticipate the need to incorporate replies.

9.3 The arbitrator may permit or direct the parties at any stage to amend, expand, summarise or reproduce in some other format any of the statements of claim or defence so as to identify the matters essentially in dispute, including preparing a list of the matters in issue.

9.4 The arbitrator should give detailed directions, with times or dates for all steps in the proceedings including:

(a) further statements or particulars required;

(b) disclosure and production of documents between the parties; see Rule 5.2;

(c) service of statements of witnesses of fact;
(d) the number of experts and service of their reports;

(e) meetings between experts and/or other persons;

(f) arrangements for any hearing.

9.5 The arbitrator should fix the length of each hearing including the time which will be available to each party to present its case and answer that of its opponent.

9.6 The arbitrator may at any time order the following to be delivered to him and to the other party in writing:

(a) any submission or speech by an advocate;

(b) questions intended to be put to any witness;

(c) answers by any witness to identified questions.

**RULE 10: PROVISIONAL RELIEF**

10.1 The arbitrator has power to order the following relief on a provisional basis: see Section 39 (Power to make provisional awards)

(a) payment of a reasonable proportion of the sum which is likely to be awarded finally in respect of the claims to which the payment relates, after taking account of any defence or counterclaim that may be available;

(b) payment of a sum on account of any costs of the arbitration, including costs relating to an order under this Rule;

(c) any other relief claimed in the arbitral proceedings.

10.2 The arbitrator may exercise the powers under this Rule after application by a party or of his own motion after giving due notice to the parties.

10.3 An order for provisional relief under this Rule must be based on formal evidence: see Rule 5.7. The arbitrator may give such reasons for his order as he thinks appropriate.

10.4 The arbitrator may order any money or property which is the subject of an order for provisional relief to be paid to or delivered to a stakeholder on such terms as he considers appropriate.

10.5 An order for provisional relief is subject to the final adjudication of the arbitrator who makes it, or of any arbitrator who subsequently has jurisdiction over the dispute to which it relates.

*Section 39 is printed in Appendix II.*

**RULE 11: DEFAULT POWERS AND SANCTIONS**

11.1 The arbitrator has the power set out in Section 41 (3) (Powers of tribunal in case of party's default) to make an award dismissing a claim.

11.2 The arbitrator has the power set out in Section 41 (4) to proceed in the absence of a party or without any written evidence or submission from a party.

11.3 The arbitrator may by any order direct that if a party fails to comply with that order he will:

(a) refuse to allow the party in default to rely on any allegation or material which was the subject of the order;

(b) draw such adverse inferences from the act of non-compliance as the circumstances justify;

and may, if that party fails to comply without showing sufficient cause, refuse to allow such reliance or draw such adverse inferences and may proceed to make an award on the basis of such materials as have been properly provided, and may make any order as to costs in consequence of such non-compliance.

11.4 In addition to his power under Rule 11.3 the arbitrator has the powers set out in Section 41 (5), (6) and (7) (peremptory orders).

11.5 An application to the court for an order requiring a party to comply with a peremptory order may be made only by or with the permission of the arbitrator: see Section 42 (2) (Enforcement of peremptory orders of tribunal).
11.6 An application to dismiss a claim for inordinate and inexcusable delay or failure to comply with a peremptory order to provide security for costs must be based on formal evidence: see Rule 5.7. Where a claim is dismissed on such a ground, the claim shall be barred and may not be rearbitrated.

THE ACT

S.41.(1) The parties are free to agree on the powers of the tribunal in case of a party's failure to do something necessary for the proper and expeditious conduct of the arbitration.

(2) Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, the following provisions apply.

(3) If the tribunal is satisfied that there has been inordinate and inexcusable delay on the part of the claimant in pursuing his claim and that the delay
(a) gives rise, or is likely to give rise, to a substantial risk that it is not possible to have a fair resolution of the issues in that claim, or
(b) has caused, or is likely to cause, serious prejudice to the respondent,
the tribunal may make an award dismissing the claim.

(4) If without showing sufficient cause a party
(a) fails to attend or be represented at an oral hearing of which due notice was given, or
(b) where matters are to be dealt with in writing, fails after due notice to submit written evidence or make written submissions,
the tribunal may continue the proceedings in the absence of that party or, as the case may be, without any evidence or submissions on his behalf, and may make an award on the basis of the evidence before it.

(5) If without showing sufficient cause a party fails to comply with any order or directions of the tribunal, the tribunal may make a peremptory order to the same effect, prescribing such time for compliance with it as the tribunal considers appropriate.

(6) If a claimant fails to comply with a peremptory order of the tribunal to provide security for costs, the tribunal may make an award dismissing his claim.

(7) If a party fails to comply with any other kind of peremptory order, then without prejudice to section 42 (enforcement by court of tribunal's peremptory orders), the tribunal may do any of the following
(a) direct that the party in default shall not be entitled to rely upon any allegations or material which was the subject matter of the order;
(b) draw such adverse inferences from the act of non-compliance as the circumstances justify;
(c) proceed to an award on the basis of such materials as have been properly provided to it;
(d) make such order as it thinks fit as to the payment of costs of the arbitration incurred in consequence of the non-compliance.

Section 42 is printed in Appendix II

RULE 12: AWARDS AND REMEDIES

12.2 Where the arbitrator directs or the parties agree to a hearing dealing with part of a dispute, then whether or not there is any agreement between the parties as to such matters, the arbitrator may do any of the following:

(a) decide what are the issues or questions to be determined;
(b) decide whether or not to give an award on part of the claims submitted;
(c) make an order for provisional relief: but see Rule 10.2.

12.3 At the conclusion of a hearing, where the arbitrator is to deliver an award he shall inform the parties of the target date for its delivery. The arbitrator must take all possible steps to complete the award by that date and inform the parties of any reason which prevents him doing so. The award shall not deal with the allocation of costs and/or interest unless the parties have been given an opportunity to address these issues.

12.4 An award shall be in writing, dated and signed by the arbitrator. The award must comply with any other requirements of the contract under which it is given. Section 58 (Effect of award) applies but see Rule 10.

12.5 An award should contain sufficient reasons to show why the arbitrator has reached the decisions contained in it unless the parties otherwise agree or the award is agreed.

12.6 The arbitrator has the powers set out in Section 48 (3), (4) and (5) (Remedies).

12.7 Where an award orders that a party should do some act, for instance carry out specified work, the arbitrator has the power to supervise the performance or, if he thinks it appropriate, to appoint (and to reappoint as may be necessary) a suitable person so to supervise and to fix the terms of his engagement and retains all powers necessary to ensure compliance with the award.

12.8 The arbitrator has the powers set out in Section 49 (3) and (4) (Interest). This is in addition to any power to award contractual interest.
12.9 The arbitrator has the powers set out in Section 57 (3) to (6) (Correction of award or additional award) which are to be exercised subject to the time limits stated.

12.10 The arbitrator may notify an award or any part of an award to the parties as a draft or proposal. In such case unless the arbitrator otherwise directs no further evidence shall be admitted and the arbitrator shall consider only such comments of the parties as are notified to him within such time as he may specify and thereafter the arbitrator shall issue the award.

12.11 Where an award is made and there remains outstanding a claim by the other party, the arbitrator may order that the whole or part of the amount of the award be paid to a stakeholder on such terms as he considers appropriate.

THE ACT

S.47.(1) Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, the tribunal may make more than one award at different times on different aspects of the matters to be determined.

(2) The tribunal may, in particular, make an award relating

(a) to an issue affecting the whole claim, or

(b) to a part only of the claims or cross-claims submitted to it for decision.

(3) If the tribunal does so, it shall specify in its award the issue, or the claim or part of a claim, which is the subject matter of the award.

S.48.(1) The parties are free to agree on the powers exercisable by the arbitral tribunal as regards remedies.

(2) Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, the tribunal has the following powers.

(3) The tribunal may make a declaration as to any matter to be determined in the proceedings.

(4) The tribunal may order the payment of a sum of money, in any currency,

(5) the tribunal has the same powers as the court

(a) to order a party to do or refrain from doing anything;

(b) to order specific performance of a contract (other than a contract relating to land);

(c) to order the rectification, setting aside or cancellation of a deed or other document.

S.49.(1) The parties are free to agree on the powers of the tribunal as regards the award of interest.

(2) Unless otherwise agreed by the parties the following provisions apply.

(3) The tribunal may award simple or compound interest from such dates, at such rates and with such rests as it considers meets the justice of the case

(a) on the whole or part of any amount awarded by the tribunal, in respect of any period up to the date of the award;

(b) on the whole or part of any amount claimed in the arbitration and outstanding at the commencement of the arbitral proceedings but paid before the award was made, in respect of any period up to the date of payment.

(4) The tribunal may award simple or compound interest from the date of the award (or any later date) until payment, at such rates and with such rests as it considers meets the justice of the case, on the outstanding amount of any award (including any award of interest under subsection (3) and any award as to costs).

(5) References in this section to an amount awarded by the tribunal include an amount payable in consequence of a declaratory award by the tribunal.

(6) The above provisions do not affect any other power of the tribunal to award interest.

S.57.(1) The parties are free to agree on the powers of the tribunal to correct an award or make an additional award.

(2) If or to the extent there is no such agreement, the following provisions apply.

(3) The tribunal may on its own initiative or on the application of a party

(a) correct an award so as to remove any clerical mistake or error arising from an accidental slip or omission or clarify or remove any ambiguity in the award, or

(b) make an additional award in respect of any claim (including a claim for interest or costs) which was presented to the tribunal but was not dealt with in the award.

These powers shall not be exercised without first affording the other parties a reasonable opportunity to make representations to the tribunal.

(4) Any application for the exercise of those powers must be made within 28 days of the date of the award or such longer period as the parties may agree.

(5) Any correction of an award shall be made within 28 days of the date the application was received by the tribunal or, where the correction is made by the tribunal on its own initiative, within 28 days of the date of the award or, in either case, such longer period as the parties may agree.

(6) Any additional award shall be made within 56 days of the date of the original award or such longer period as the parties may agree.

(7) Any correction of an award shall form part of the award.

RULE 13: COSTS

13.1 The general principle is that costs should be borne by the losing party; see Section 61 (Award of costs). Subject to any agreement between the parties, the arbitrator has the widest discretion in awarding which party should bear what proportion of the costs of the arbitration.

13.2 In allocating costs the arbitrator shall have regard to all material circumstances, including such of the following as may be relevant:

(a) which of the claims has led to the incurring of substantial costs and whether they were successful;

(b) whether any claim which has succeeded was unreasonably exaggerated;
(c) the conduct of the party who succeeded on any claim and any concession made by the other party;
(d) the degree of success of each party.

See also Rule 13.9.

13.3 Where an award deals with both a claim and a counterclaim, the arbitrator should deal with the recovery of costs in relation to each of them separately unless he considers them to be so interconnected that they should be dealt with together.

13.4 The arbitrator may impose a limit on recoverable costs of the arbitration or any part of the proceedings: see Section 65 (Power to limit recoverable costs). In determining such limit the arbitrator shall have regard primarily to the amounts in dispute.

13.5 In determining a limit on recoverable costs the arbitrator shall also have regard to any advisory procedure and give effect to any supplementary procedure issued for use under any contract to which the dispute relates.

13.6 A direction under Rule 13.4 may impose a limit on part of the costs of the arbitration: see Section 59 (Costs of the arbitration).

13.7 Where proceedings include claims which are not claims for money, the arbitrator shall take these into account as he thinks appropriate when fixing a limit under Rule 13.4.

13.8 A direction under Rule 13.4 may be varied at any time, subject to Section 65(2). For this purpose the arbitrator may require the parties to submit at any time statements of costs incurred and foreseen.

13.9 In allocating costs the arbitrator shall have regard to any offer of settlement or compromise from either party, whatever its description or form. The general principle which the arbitrator should follow is that a party who recovers less overall than was offered to him in settlement or compromise should recover the costs which he would otherwise have been entitled to recover only up to the date on which it was reasonable for him to have accepted the offer, and the offeror should recover his costs thereafter.

13.10 Section 63 (3) to (7) (The recoverable costs of the arbitration) applies to the determination of the recoverable costs of the arbitration (determination by the arbitrator or by the court). Where the arbitrator is to determine recoverable costs, he may do so on such basis as he thinks fit. Section 59 (Costs of the arbitration) also applies.
RULE 14: MISCELLANEOUS

14.1 A party may be represented in the proceedings by any one or more persons of his choice and by different persons at different times: see Section 36 (Legal or other representation).

14.2 The arbitrator shall establish and record postal addresses and other means, including facsimile or telex, by which communication in writing may be effected for the purposes of the arbitration. Section 76 (3) to (6) (Service of notices, &c) shall apply in addition.

14.3 Section 78 (3) to (5) (Reckoning periods of time) apply to the reckoning of periods of time.

14.4 The parties shall promptly inform the arbitrator of any settlement. Section 51 (Settlement) then applies.

14.5 The parties shall promptly inform the arbitrator of any intended application to the court and provide copies of any proceedings issued in relation to any such matter.
APPENDIX I

Definition of Terms

**Act**
means the Arbitration Act 1996 (cap 23) including any amendment or re-enactment.

**claim**
includes counterclaim.

**claimant**
includes counterclaimant.

**concurrent hearing**
means two or more arbitral proceedings being heard together: see Rules 3.7 and 3.8.

**consolidation**
means two or more arbitral proceedings being treated as one proceeding: see Rules 3.9 and 3.10.

**dispute**
includes a difference which is subject to a condition precedent to arbitral proceedings being brought: see Rule 3.5.

**notice of arbitration**
means the written notice which begins arbitral proceedings: see Rules 2.1 and 3.6.

**party**
means one of the parties to arbitral proceedings.

**provisional order**
means an order for provisional relief in accordance with Rule 10.

**Rule**
refers to a separate section of the Rules or a part.

**Section**
means a Section of the Act.
APPENDIX II

Sections referred to within the body of the Rules but not reproduced therein:

S.13.(1) The Limitation Acts apply to arbitral proceedings as they apply to legal proceedings.

(2) The court may order that in computing the time prescribed by the Limitation Acts for the commencement of proceedings (including arbitral proceedings) in respect of a dispute which was the subject matter—
   (a) of an award which the court orders to be set aside or declares to be of no effect, or
   (b) of the affected part of an award which the court orders to be set aside in part, or declares to be in part of no effect,

the period between the commencement of the arbitration and the date of the order referred to in paragraph (a) or (b) shall be excluded.

(3) In determining for the purposes of the Limitation Acts when a cause of action accrued, any provision that an award is a condition precedent to the bringing of legal proceedings in respect of a matter in which an arbitration agreement applies shall be disregarded.

(4) In this Part the Limitation Acts' means—
   (a) in England and Wales, the Limitation Act 1980, the Foreign Limitation Periods Act 1984 and any other enactment (whenever passed) relating to the limitation of actions;
   (b) in Northern Ireland, the Limitation (Northern Ireland) Order 1989, the Foreign Limitation Periods (Northern Ireland) Order 1985 and any other enactment (whenever passed) relating to the limitation of actions.

S.18.(1) The parties are free to agree what is to happen in the event of a failure of the procedure for the appointment of the arbitral tribunal.

There is no failure if an appointment is duly made under section 17 (power in case of default to appoint sole arbitrator), unless that appointment is set aside.

(2) If or to the extent that there is no such agreement any party to the arbitration agreement may (upon notice to the other parties) apply to the court to exercise its powers under this section.

(3) Those powers are—
   (a) to give directions as to the making of any necessary appointments;
   (b) to direct that the tribunal shall be constituted by such appointments (or any one or more of them) as have been made;
   (c) to revoke any appointments already made;
   (d) to make any necessary appointments itself.

(4) An appointment made by the court under this section has effect as if made with the agreement of the parties.

(5) The leave of the court is required for any appeal from a decision of the court under this section.

S.32.(1) The court may on the application of a party to arbitral proceedings (upon notice to the other parties), determine any question as to the substantive jurisdiction of the tribunal.

A party may lose the right to object (see section 73).

(2) An application under this section shall not be considered unless—
   (a) it is made with the agreement in writing of all the other parties to the proceedings, or
   (b) it is made with the permission of the tribunal and the court is satisfied—
      (i) that the determination of the question is likely to produce substantial savings in costs,
      (ii) that the application was made without delay, and
      (iii) that there is good reason why the matter should be decided by the court.

(3) An application under this section, unless made with the agreement of all the other parties to the proceedings, shall state the grounds on which it is said that the matter should be decided by the court.

(4) Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, the arbitral tribunal may continue the arbitral proceedings and make an award while an application to the court under this section is pending.

(5) Unless the court gives leave, no appeal lies from a decision of the court whether the conditions specified in subsection (2) are met.

(6) The decision of the court on the question of jurisdiction shall be treated as a judgment of the court for the purposes of an appeal.

But no appeal lies without the leave of the court which shall not be given unless the court considers that the question involves a point of law which is of general importance or is one which for some other special reason should be considered by the Court of Appeal.

S.35.(1) The parties are free to agree—
   (a) that the arbitral proceedings shall be consolidated with other arbitral proceedings, or
   (b) that concurrent hearings shall be held,
   on such terms as may be agreed.

(2) Unless the parties agree to confer such power on the tribunal, the tribunal has no power to order consolidation of proceedings or concurrent hearings.

S.36. Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, a party to arbitral proceedings may be represented in the proceedings by a lawyer or other person chosen by him.
S.39 (1) The parties are free to agree that the tribunal shall have power to order on a provisional basis any relief which it would have power to grant in a final award.

(2) This includes, for instance, making
(a) a provisional order for the payment of money or the disposal of property as between the parties, or
(b) an order to make an interim payment on account of the costs of the arbitration.

(3) Any such order shall be subject to the tribunal’s final adjudication; and the tribunal’s final award, on the merits or as to costs, shall take account of any such order.

(4) Unless the parties agree to confer such power on the tribunal, the tribunal has no such power.

This does not affect its powers under section 47 (awards on different issues, &c.).

S.42 (1) Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, the court may make an order requiring a party to comply with a peremptory order made by the tribunal.

(2) An application for an order under this section may be made
(a) by the tribunal (upon notice to the parties),
(b) by a party to the arbitral proceedings with the permission of the tribunal (and upon notice to the other parties), or
(c) where the parties have agreed that the powers of the court under this section shall be available.

(3) The court shall not act until it is satisfied that the applicant has exhausted any available arbitral process in respect of failure to comply with the tribunal’s order.

(4) No order shall be made under this section unless the court is satisfied that the order was directed has failed to comply with it within the time prescribed in the order or, if no time was prescribed, within a reasonable time.

(5) The leave of the court is required for any appeal from a decision of the court under this section.

S.45 (1) Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, the court may on the application of a party to arbitral proceedings (upon notice to the other parties) determine any question of law arising in the course of the proceedings which the court is satisfied substantially affects the rights of one or more of the parties.

An agreement to dispense with reasons for the tribunal’s award shall be considered an agreement to exclude the court’s jurisdiction under this section.

(2) An application under this section shall not be considered unless
(a) it is made with the agreement of all the other parties to the proceedings, or
(b) it is made with the permission of the tribunal and the court is satisfied
(i) that the determination of the question is likely to produce substantial savings in costs, and
(ii) that the application was made without delay.

S.51 (1) If during arbitral proceedings the parties settle the dispute, the following provisions apply unless otherwise agreed by the parties.

(2) The tribunal shall terminate the substantive proceedings and, if so requested by the parties and not objected to by the tribunal, shall record the settlement in the form of an agreed award.

(3) An agreed award shall state that it is an award of the tribunal and shall have the same status and effect as any other award on the merits of the case.

(4) The following provisions of this Part relating to awards (sections 52 to 58) apply to an agreed award.

(5) Unless the parties have also settled the matter of the payment of the costs of the arbitration, the provisions of this Part relating to costs (sections 59 to 63) continue to apply.

S.58 (1) Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, an award made by the tribunal pursuant to an arbitration agreed is final and binding both on the parties and on any persons claiming through or under them.

(2) This does not affect the right of a person to challenge the award by any available arbitral process of appeal or review or in accordance with the provisions of this Part.

S.61 (1) The tribunal may make an award allocating the costs of the arbitration as between the parties, subject to any agreement of the parties.

(2) Unless the parties otherwise agree, the tribunal shall award costs on the general principle that costs should follow the event except where it appears to the tribunal that in the circumstances this is not appropriate in relation to the whole or part of the costs.
JCT Supplementary and Advisory Procedures

Procedures in Part A are mandatory. They are incorporated into and form part of the ‘JCT 2005 edition of the Construction Industry Model Arbitration Rules (CIMAR)’. From 2005, where an arbitration provision is included in a JCT contract it provides for it to be conducted in accordance with the 2005 edition of the Rules.

Procedures in Part B are advisory and do not apply unless expressly agreed between the Parties after arbitral proceedings between them have begun. These procedures provide for the imposition of stricter timescales than those prescribed by some arbitration rules or those frequently observed in practice, these stricter timescales to apply unless other periods are ordered at the preliminary meeting (see Rule 6). Part B also contains detailed procedures to be followed in the event that the prescribed or ordered timescales are not observed.

Part A: Mandatory Procedures

Procedures under Rule 6

Under Rule 6.2

6.2 .1 Rule 6.2 shall be complied with by each party within 14 days of the date on which the arbitrator’s acceptance of the appointment is notified to the parties.

Under Rule 6.3

6.3 .1 The procedural meeting referred to in Rule 6.3 shall be convened by the arbitrator within 21 days of the date on which the arbitrator’s acceptance of the appointment is notified to the parties unless prior to the expiry of that period it has been decided in accordance with Rule 6.6 that such a meeting is unnecessary in which case the matters referred to in Rules 6.3 and 6.4 shall be directed by the arbitrator having regard to any written representations on behalf of the parties submitted in accordance with a timetable directed by the arbitrator.

6.3 .2 Unless the parties have agreed which of Rules 7,8 or 9 is to apply, Rule 8 shall apply unless the arbitrator (having regard to Rules 7.1,8.1 and 9.1, the material submitted under Rule 6.2 and any other representations on behalf of the parties) directs that Rule 9 shall apply.

Part B: Advisory Procedures

Procedures issued under Rule 6.5 relating to Rule 7 (short hearing)

Rule 7.2

7.2 .1 Unless otherwise directed by the arbitrator under Rule 6.3, each party shall submit the written statements of case referred to in Rule 7.2 to the other party and to the arbitrator not later than 7 days before the date of the hearing referred to in Rule 7.3.

Rule 7.3

7.3 .1 The hearing referred to in Rule 7.3 shall be held within 21 days of the date on which Rule 7 becomes applicable at such place and such time as the arbitrator directs under Rule 6 unless delayed for reasons beyond the reasonable control of the arbitrator or of the parties. No evidence other than that provided in accordance with Rules 7.2 and 7.5 may be adduced by the parties at or subsequent to the hearing unless otherwise directed or allowed by the arbitrator.
Rule 7.5
7.5 .1 The substance of any expert evidence to be adduced under Rule 7.5 must be submitted in writing along with the written statements of case referred to in Rule 7.2.

Rule 7.6
7.6 .1 The last of the steps referred to in Rule 7.6 shall be the date on which the hearing is concluded.

Procedures issued under Rule 6.5 relating to Rule 8 (documents only)

Rules 8.2 and 8.3
8.2 .1 Unless otherwise directed by the arbitrator under Rule 6.3, the written statements of case referred to in Rule 8.2 and the statements of reply referred to in Rule 8.3 shall be submitted to the other party and the arbitrator in accordance with the following timetable.

(a) The Claimant shall submit his statement of case within 21 days after the date on which Rule 8 becomes applicable.

(b) The Respondent shall submit his statement of case within 28 days after submission of the Claimant's statement of case.

(c) The Claimant may submit a statement in reply within 14 days after submission of the Respondent's statement of case limited to matters raised in the Respondent's statement of case and shall do so where the Respondent by his statement of case claims from the Claimant any remedy (including but not limited to a claim for money).

(d) The Respondent may submit a statement in reply within 14 days after submission of the Claimant's statement in reply (if any) limited to matters raised in the Claimant's statement in reply.

8.2 .2 Subject to Rule 8.2.3, the timetable for submission of statements shall conclude when the period referred to in either Rule 8.2.1(c) or, if applicable, Rule 8.2.1(d) has expired.

8.2 .3 If either party fails to submit in accordance with the timetable provided for by Rule 8.2.1 a statement required of him by that Rule, the arbitrator shall direct that his award will be made on the basis of the material submitted unless within 7 days of the service of that direction on the party concerned that party submits the statement required of him or by writing shows sufficient cause why the arbitrator should not proceed to make his award in accordance with that direction (see Rule 11.3) and:

(a) if that party submits the statement required of it in accordance with the arbitrator’s direction, the timetable under Rule 8.2.1 will continue as at the date of its submission;

(b) if that party shows sufficient cause why the arbitrator should not proceed to make his award in accordance with that direction, the arbitrator shall direct a date by which the statement required of it shall be submitted and that date shall form part of the timetable under Rule 8.2.1;

(c) if that party fails to comply with the arbitrator’s direction, the timetable for the submission of statements shall conclude 7 days after the service of that direction on the party concerned.

Rule 8.5
8.5 .1 The last of the steps referred to in Rule 8.5 shall be the date on which the timetable for the submission of statements concludes.
Procedures issued under Rule 6.5 relating to Rule 9 (full procedure)

Rule 9.2

9.2.1 Unless otherwise directed by the arbitrator under Rule 6.3, the written statements referred to in Rule 9.2 shall be exchanged with the other party and the arbitrator in accordance with the following timetable.

(a) The Claimant shall exchange his statement of claim within 28 days after the date on which Rule 9 becomes applicable.

(b) The Respondent shall exchange his statement of defence and statement of counterclaim (if any) within 28 days after submission of the Claimant’s statement of claim.

(c) The Claimant may exchange a statement of reply to the Respondent’s statement of defence limited to matters raised in the statement of defence within 28 days after submission of the Respondent’s statement of defence and shall within that period exchange a statement of defence to the Respondent’s statement of counterclaim (if any).

(d) The Respondent may exchange a statement of reply to the Claimant’s statement of defence to counterclaim (if any) limited to matters raised in the statement of counterclaim within 14 days after exchange of that statement by the Claimant.

9.2.2 Subject to Rule 9.2.3, the timetable for the submission of statements shall conclude when the period referred to in either Rule 9.2.1(c) or, if applicable, Rule 9.2.1(d) has expired.

9.2.3 If either party fails to exchange in accordance with the timetable provided for by Rule 9.2.1 a written statement of claim, defence, or reply that he is required to exchange by that Rule, the arbitrator shall direct that the timetable for the exchange of statements will conclude 7 days after the service of that direction on the party concerned unless within that period that party exchanges the statement required of him or by writing shows sufficient cause why the timetable for the exchange of statements should not conclude in accordance with that direction (see Rule 11.3) and:

(a) if that party exchanges the statement required of it in accordance with the arbitrator’s direction under Rule 9.2.3, the timetable under Rule 9.2.1 will continue as at the date of its exchange;

(b) if that party shows sufficient cause why the timetable for the exchange of statements should not conclude in accordance with that direction, the arbitrator shall direct a date by which the statement required of it shall be exchanged and that date shall form part of the timetable under Rule 9.2.1;

(c) if that party fails to comply with the arbitrator’s direction under Rule 9.2.3, the timetable for the exchange of statements shall conclude in accordance with the arbitrator’s direction.

9.2.4 Except to the extent provided for in Rules 9.3 and 9.4, no statement of claim, defence or reply shall be of effect unless exchanged by the date on which the timetable for the exchange of statements concludes.

Rule 9.4

9.4.1 The arbitrator shall not later than 14 days (or such other period as the arbitrator directs) after the date on which the timetable for the exchange of statements concludes and after consultation with the parties give directions in accordance with Rule 9.4 as regards the future course of the proceedings.
Procedures issued under Rule 13.5 relating to Rule 13 (costs)

Rule 13.5

13.5.1 Notwithstanding Rules 7.5 and 7.7, where Rule 7 applies each party shall (unless for special reasons the arbitrator at his discretion otherwise directs) bear his own costs of the proceedings conducted under that Rule and half the cost of the arbitrator’s fees and expenses incurred in respect of those proceedings (see Arbitration Act 1996, s.60).

s.60. An agreement which has the effect that a party is to pay the whole or part of the costs of the arbitration in any event is only valid if made after the dispute in question has arisen.
THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY MODEL ARBITRATION RULES (CIMAR)

1st Edition February 1998

Notes issued by the Society of Construction Arbitrators
Updated January 2002

Introduction

1. In response to the Bill which was to become the Arbitration Act 1996, the Society of Construction Arbitrators (SCA) initiated the production of Model Arbitration Rules for adoption by all construction institutions and other bodies having interests in construction arbitration. A series of committees was established under the Chairmanship of Lord Justice Auld, including a plenary group, a steering group and a drafting sub-committee which adopted the acronym CIMAR.

2. In the course of its work, the CIMAR steering group published a framework document with suggested draft rules in September 1996. A full draft of the rules was issued in February 1997 and, after wide consultation, the Rules were published as consultation document in April 1997.

3. After further extensive consultation the Rules were recirculated in draft in October 1997 and offered for formal endorsement to all the relevant construction institutions and bodies. This resulted in requests for a number of additions and amendments, while many bodies were prepared to endorse the Rules as printed. This first edition of the Rules, printed in February 1998, lists the bodies who have endorsed the Rules.

4. The drafting and production of the first edition was been undertaken principally by John Uff, FEng QC, Peter Aeberli, RIBA barrister, and Christopher Dan caster, FRICS, the then Secretary, SCA. The Rules will be kept under review and further editions produced by a cross-industry review body which was established under the auspices of the SCA shortly after the publication of the first edition.
5. The Review Body was set up under the chairmanship of His Honour Judge Humphrey LLoyd QC. Feedback has been received from the bodies who endorsed the Rules and from users. There has been no evidence of particular problems with wording or content of the Rules themselves to justify any change but comment has been received as to the need for additional guidance in respect of certain of the Rules. This guidance has been incorporated within these updated Notes.

Drafting

6. The Arbitration Act 1996 (the Act) dictates a radically different approach to arbitration Rules. While the 1950 Arbitration Act contained only general measures and required that Rules should be fully drafted, the 1996 Act contains extensive powers which, in most cases, require contracting in.

7. The drafting team had to decide between incorporation by reference and extensive repetition of sections of the Act within the Rules. The steering group was in favour of the former and decided that, in the interest of efficiency, sections of the Act of immediate relevance should be printed after the Rule in question, with other sections necessary to the working of the Rules being printed as an appendix. As at January 2002 the Act is no longer novel and this decision has been modified in the version of CIMAR included on the SCA web site (see note 10 below).

8. Apart from incorporating powers direct from the Act, the Rules have two other purposes:

(1) to extend or amend the provisions of the Act where necessary; and

(2) to add a general framework to the specific powers and duties in order to provide guidance to users as well as to arbitrators.

Objective (2) gives rise to the issue of “user friendliness” which has been much debated. One question was whether the Rules should set out extensive procedures or whether they should be as brief as was consistent with their overall purpose. The approach adopted is essentially one of brevity coupled with clarity, which has generally commanded wide support.

9. The Rules are divided into fourteen sections called Rules with numbering within each Rule running to one decimal place only. In addition, having considered various appendices which might be helpful, the choice has narrowed to two, namely definition of terms (Appendix I) and Sections of the Act referred to but not printed in the Rules (Appendix II).

10. In the year 2000 CIMAR was included on the SCA web site (www.arbitrators-society.org) in the same form as it appeared in print. It has become apparent in the course of use on the web that the Rules would be far more user friendly on the screen if the sections of the Act that are appended to each Rule were to be replaced with a link to the appropriate section of the Act as it appears in the Government web site (www.open.gov). This has now been done. There is one small caveat to this decision and that relates to the occasional fragility of links on the web. If any difficulty is found with these links please inform the site webmaster as identified on the opening page of the SCA website.
Adoption of CIMAR

11. The importance of having the same Rules adopted by all relevant construction institutions and bodies is generally accepted. A large proportion of construction work now spans more than one professional body and disputes necessarily do likewise. There is no good reason for different arbitration rules to exist within the same industry. Specifically, in the light of Section 86 of the 1996 Act not being brought into force, there is no longer an ability to bring Court proceedings in respect of multi-party disputes. If arbitration is to play a proper role in construction disputes, it is imperative that a workable system of joinder should be created. Common Rules are the only way to achieve this in practice (see Rule 3). There are many other aspects of the Rules where a common approach across the industry is highly desirable (for instance, orders for provisional relief, Rule 10).

12. Where any of the contract producing bodies within the industry considers that individual procedural Rules are required, the Rules make express provision for the incorporating of such Rules, for instance in the form of “advisory or model procedures” under Rule 6. There are other express provisions in CIMAR which invite additional Rules. Conversely, however, some Rules will operate only if they are incorporated as drafted by all the relevant institutions. This applies in the case of joinder under Rule 2, where appointment of a common arbitrator must be considered by the persons individually charged with making the appointment.

Notes on the Rules

13. These Notes are based on the Notes that have accompanied CIMAR since publication which have been edited and updated to provide the guidance that has been identified as being necessary by the Review Body.

Rule 1

14. This is largely declaratory, serving to recall the now express requirements as to the basic rules of arbitration.

15. It is in the nature of arbitration that the parties will wish to agree (to the extent that the law allows) how they wish their arbitration to be conducted and it has been suggested in relation to Rule 1.3 that the principle of party autonomy requires that the parties should be at liberty to alter the Rules. While this argument is recognised, the achievement of uniformity throughout the construction industry requires that general amendment of the Rules should be discouraged. Consequently, the Rule is drafted so as to prohibit amendment (save with the agreement of the arbitrator) after the arbitrator has been appointed.

16. Before the arbitrator is appointed, the parties are clearly free to amend CIMAR if they find that this is really necessary. The arbitrator appointed will be accepting to serve on the amended basis. After an arbitrator has been appointed, if the parties wish for some good reason to agree an amendment to the Rules or a procedure in conflict with them, they will have to gain the agreement also of the arbitrator (otherwise, as a worst case scenario, the arbitrator would be compelled to resign). Parties should therefore be careful to consult, together, with the arbitrator before seeking to agree such amendments or procedures. (See also Rule 5.1)
17. Rule 1.6 limits the application of these Rules to a single arbitrator and to arbitrations in England and Wales or Northern Ireland. Any wider applications could be the subject of special Rules issued by individual institutions (for instance the ICE has Rules for Scotland).

18. Rule 1.7 was added late in the drafting process at the request of the JCT whose Standard Form of Building Contract contains an agreement to the bringing of an appeal pursuant to Section 69 (2)(a) of the Act. The Rule is declaratory of what is otherwise the clear effect of CIMAR.

Rule 2

19. This Rule sets out a uniform procedure for beginning arbitral proceedings for the purpose of the Limitation Acts. To the extent the standard forms differ, they should be brought into line with Rule 2.1. It is also provided that the arbitrator’s appointment takes effect from his agreement to act or appointment, even if this is conditional upon acceptance of his terms.

20. The important question of appointing an arbitrator in two or more related disputes is dealt with under Rules 2.5 to 2.7. These impose duties on persons having the function of appointing arbitrators to give consideration to whether the same or a different arbitrator should be appointed. This will be a matter of considerable importance to the parties involved. It is questionable whether these Rules would be capable of enforcement against the person empowered to appoint. A powerful sanction exists, however, through possible challenge to an arbitrator who is appointed otherwise than in accordance with these Rules.

21. The term “related arbitral proceedings” in Rule 2.6 refers to those concerning disputes or differences that raise issues that are substantially the same as or connected with the issues that are already the subject of arbitral proceedings.

22. Rule 2.9 makes it clear that that the provisions in Rules 2 and 3 concerning related disputes apply in addition to any contract provisions in this regard, which may exist in the Standard Forms.

Rule 3

23. This Rule deals both with joinder of disputes and joinder of parties in related disputes. Rules 3.1 to 3.4 permit either party to raise disputes in addition to the initial dispute which is referred. This may be done as a matter of right by the respondent before an arbitrator is appointed.

24. After an arbitrator has been appointed, either party may give notice of another dispute. CIMAR do not preclude the parties from agreeing to consolidate a further dispute with existing arbitration proceedings. The arbitrator should be consulted before this is done. If the arbitrator’s position were compromised or otherwise affected the parties should not insist on their right.

25. If one party does not agree that a further dispute should be consolidated with existing arbitration proceedings the arbitrator is empowered to decide whether or not this should be done. In such a situation the arbitrator should always obtain submissions from both parties before making his decision.
26. The arbitrator should not order consolidation of the two disputes if there is a likelihood that a party will be prejudiced as a result, for example by the hearing and the award in the first arbitration being delayed.

27. Consolidation should be ordered if the overall costs of resolving the two disputes will be reduced as a result without detriment to a party, for example by reducing the time needed for the hearing if the same witnesses are involved.

28. In the event that the arbitrator decides that the dispute should not be referred to the same arbitral proceedings, it continues as a separate dispute, there then being no agreement as to the appointment of an arbitrator for that dispute.

29. A party initiating arbitration must normally take into account any matter which is a condition precedent to arbitration. For example, it may be necessary first to refer the matter in question to the Engineer under the contract, and arbitral proceedings may not be available until this has been done. Rule 3.5 applies to a situation where the original notice of arbitration is validly given but a condition precedent potentially applies to the "other" dispute to be referred under Rules 3.2 or 3.3. If the condition precedent has to be satisfied, this may either hold up the proceedings or effectively prevent the other dispute from being joined. Rule 3.5, therefore, empowers the arbitrator to take whatever steps are necessary to resolve the matter, so that the other dispute may be joined (or the arbitrator may decide that it should not be joined on other grounds).

30. Rule 3.5 is expressed in wide terms so as to cover any foreseeable type of condition precedent. In the example of reference to the Engineer, the arbitrator is empowered under Rule 3.5(i) to "decide any matter which may be a condition precedent". Thus, if there is disagreement as to whether the matter in question has been referred to the Engineer, the arbitrator may decide whether or not it has been so referred.

31. Rule 3.5(ii) contains an even wider power to "abrogate any condition precedent". In the example in paragraph 29, the arbitrator could decide that the requirement for the dispute to be referred to the Engineer should no longer apply, if he has already decided that the matter in question had not been referred.

32. Another example of the application of Rule 3.5 is where the contract requires a dispute first to be the subject of mediation or conciliation proceedings within a fixed timescale. One party may allege that the condition precedent has not been satisfied. Sub-Rule (i) empowers the arbitrator to decide whether or not this is so, and, if not, Sub-Rule (ii) empowers him to abrogate the condition precedent. In either event, the arbitrator is empowered to allow the additional disputes to be brought within the arbitral proceedings without further delay.

33. Clause 3.5 thus empowers the arbitrator to short-circuit what may otherwise become a time-consuming and unnecessary procedural argument, which may be unrelated to the true merits of the disputes.

34. Note that the definition of "dispute" in Appendix I to the Rules includes a difference which is subject to a condition precedent to arbitral proceedings being brought.

35. Some bodies commenting on the Rules have suggested that the arbitrator should be empowered to order consolidation of separate proceedings in which he is appointed. The consensus view was to the contrary, but any adopting body may itself include such a power by additional Rules.
Rule 4

36. This Rule concerns the powers of the arbitrator to rule on jurisdiction (Section 30) and to appoint experts, advisers or assessors (Section 37); also the power to give directions in relation to property, examination of witnesses and preservation of evidence.

37. Where experts, advisers or assessors are appointed by the arbitrator the parties must be provided with full copies of any information, opinion or advice that is given so that they have the reasonable opportunity to comment set out in s37(1)(b) of the Act.

38. Specific power is given to order the preservation of work, goods or materials which form part of the ongoing construction work. The arbitrator is also given the power to order any test or experiment, which he may observe with or without the presence of the parties.

39. When operating Rule 4.4 the arbitrator should be careful to avoid unnecessary delay to the progress of the work on site resulting from an order for the preservation of work. Such an order will generally result from a need to take evidence that would otherwise disappear and such evidence should be taken at the earliest opportunity in order that the contract works may proceed without delay. The arbitrator should also bear in mind any provisions in the contract for the continuation of work when quality disputes arise.

40. The circumstances in which the arbitrator is empowered to make an order for security for costs (Section 38) are set out in Rule 4.6. The question whether the arbitrator should give reasons for his order has given rise to a range of views. Rule 4.7 represents a compromise which may be amended by the parties subject to Rule 1.3. The Review Body has prepared detailed guidance on the question of security for costs and this is included as Appendix 1 to these Notes.

41. Although Rule 4.7 is conditioned on a request by a party, an arbitrator is always entitled to give reasons for any decision. Compliance with the general duties in section 33 of the Act may require reasons to be given on any application. An application for security is mentioned in Rule 5.7 as a type of application where the evidence may need to be on affidavit. An arbitrator, before dealing with an application for security for costs, ought to ask if reasons are required. The consequences of non-compliance with an order for security can be severe - see Rule 4.9. If reasons are given the Parties will know that the decision does not go beyond the recorded evidence and was made on the right basis. In addition, as set out above, where a sealed or other offer has been made, the arbitrator may need to demonstrate how the application has been decided. Confidence in the arbitral process is served by openness, so that parties should have no doubt that the arbitrator has acted fairly and impartially, even if the decision may not be that which a party wishes.

42. The arbitrator would be entitled to decline to give reasons if a request for reasons for a decision was made after the decision was given unless there was good reason for the request not having been made beforehand.

43. Under Rule 4.8: 1) the arbitrator may agree terms with the parties to the effect that he will receive security from both of them and 2) a Respondent is a Claimant as far as a Counter-Claim is concerned (see the definitions in Appendix 1 to the Rules).
Rule 5

44. This Rule incorporates the powers provided under Section 34, ensuring that the arbitrator has full discretion as to the adoption of rules of evidence, disclosure of documents and the conducting of oral proceedings.

45. Rule 5.1 is intended to make clear the right of the parties to agree any procedural and evidential matter that is not already addressed in the Rules. (If it is in the Rules then Rule 1.3 governs their amendment.) Subject to that party autonomy, the arbitrator also has to decide procedural and evidential matters to the extent that they are not already set forth in the Rules.

46. Some have suggested that by reading Rules 1.3 and 5.1 together it could be argued that CIMAR requires that the parties' autonomy has to yield to the arbitrator's ultimate control. Such an interpretation is not intended. For the reasons set out above, Rule 1.3 requires the agreement of the arbitrator after appointment. So, a solution for any parties concerned by such an argument may be to consider (before appointing the arbitrator) the deletion of the opening phrase "Subject to these Rules" in Rule 5.1 or substituting it with "To the extent that such matters are not already set out in these Rules". It may be appropriate for parties when agreeing a consensual appointment to raise these matters with the arbitrator beforehand or at the preliminary meeting.

47. The power to grant permission to amend in Rule 5.1(c) should be exercised unless there is such injustice to one party that cannot be dealt with by the award of costs. There are three different categories of written statement in an arbitration:

Written Statements of Case: Amendment should always be allowed save where the prejudice to the other party will not be remedied by an award of costs.

Written statements by Counsel: Can be amended at any time as may be required by the handling of the case.

Written witness statements of fact or opinion: If the witness finds that he is not telling the truth in an original written statement he must be allowed to amend or possibly he will be considered to have committed perjury. Where the amendment arises for reasons other than an original genuine mistake the credibility of the witness may come into question as a result.

48. Any order for disclosure of documents should relate to those that are relevant to the issues before the arbitrator. Disclosure may usefully be limited by identifying specific issues that are to be put to the arbitrator beforehand. There is merit in staged disclosure, e.g. a party first discloses the documents upon which it principally relies. Preliminary disclosure by lists of files often saves time and cost (provided that the contents are properly identified to avoid dispute about the contents). Inspection follows.

49. Once a party has made all the disclosure that it intends to give without prompting, the other party can then make requests for any documents or classes of documents that have not been disclosed. If they are not disclosed voluntarily the arbitrator may order their disclosure but should only do so if persuaded of the reasonableness and justification of the request.
50. Rule 5.4 is formulated to give the arbitrator discretion as to the way in which he will deal with evidence. He must however ensure that the parties are not taken by surprise by the way in which he administers this rule. He ought therefore at the outset of the proceedings to invite the parties to consider and to agree (and if necessary to decide) whether and to what extent rules of evidence are or are not to be followed. The arbitrator may, for example, decide to accept hearsay evidence without requiring that prior notice is given. In that event he must ensure that the parties are aware that he will be doing this so that no disadvantage is caused to a party who might otherwise expect hearsay evidence adduced without notice to be excluded. If subsequently he were to decide to admit apparently irrelevant or hearsay evidence he must ensure that the parties are aware that he will do so and allow them the opportunity to make submissions as to the weight (if any) that he should give to that evidence.

51. In considering whether to admit evidence that a party submits is irrelevant the arbitrator should be aware that he may run the risk of a challenge under s 68 should he admit truly irrelevant evidence.

52. Whatever rules are adopted as to evidence for the purpose of the hearing, Rule 5.7 requires formal evidence in relation to particular matters, including an application for provisional relief. The reason for this provision is that construction arbitration has become increasingly informal. While in general this is to be encouraged, the matters listed are considered to require at least a degree of evidential formality, so that a party may know the basis on which an order has been made against him. "Some other formal record" will include a written statement of the evidence attested by the witness before the arbitrator or an agreed written record of any evidence taken orally in the presence of the arbitrator, e.g. by a transcript or electronic record. The arbitrator must be prepared to make his own record available to the parties, provided that this is agreed beforehand. A party may well make its own record but must, of course, not give it to the arbitrator without giving a copy to the other party.

53. It is generally appropriate for the arbitrator to require that pleadings or statements of case are verified by the party in person, or, in the case of a Company, by a director or manager with knowledge of the facts.

Rule 6

54. This Rule deals with the initial stages in the arbitration where the form of procedure must be determined. The parties are required initially to submit information relevant to the choice of procedure.

55. Rule 6.2 has been found to be a valuable initial step in the arbitration. It is for the parties to comply with this Rule without prompting by the arbitrator. In practice this seldom happens and the arbitrator should remind the parties of this requirement immediately on appointment.

56. The arbitrator will normally convene a procedural meeting at which the decision as to procedure will be made and other appropriate directions given. A meeting is to be held unless the parties and the arbitrator consider it unnecessary. An arbitrator who considers that his obligations under Section 33 would be best served by issuing procedural directions without incurring the cost of a preliminary meeting should not be dissuaded by this Rule from making such a suggestion to the parties.
57. A preliminary meeting held with the parties themselves present can often however create a climate encouraging the settlement of the dispute. A preliminary meeting can also be of significant assistance to the arbitrator in understanding the dispute and determining the appropriate procedure.

58. In appropriate circumstances a preliminary meeting or any other procedural meeting can be held by telephone or video conference call.

59. Subject to the parties' right to agree procedural matters (see Rule 5.1), the arbitrator is given wide powers by Rule 6.3, including adopting procedures that may curtail oral hearings. For the reasons in the note to Rule 9.6, an arbitrator must exercise such powers with great care and only after considering the parties' submissions (and hopefully their agreement to the procedure).

60. Rule 6.5 provides that, in giving directions, the arbitrator is to have regard to "any advisory procedure and give effect to any supplementary procedure issued for use under any contract to which the dispute relates". This allows any body responsible for issuing forms of contract to draw up its own special procedure containing requirements for particular types of dispute. A similar provision is contained in Rule 13.5 in relation to costs.

Rule 7

61. It may on occasion be appropriate for the arbitrator to utilise elements of Rules 7, 8 and 9 in a single arbitration.

62. Rule 7 is a procedure designed for use where there is to be a hearing of short duration with the arbitrator inspecting the relevant work, materials, etc. The parties exchange Statements of Case either at the same time or sequentially as the arbitrator may order. This is followed by a hearing normally of one day's duration. The inspection may be combined with the hearing.

63. Under this Rule the parties are discouraged from adducing expert evidence, which will normally be at their own cost. It is of the essence of the procedure that the arbitrator forms his own opinion and Rule 7.4 provides that he is not bound to communicate this to the parties, reversing the effect of Fox v PG Wellfair (1982) 19 BLR 52.

Rule 8

64. This is an alternative short procedure involving documents only where the parties are required, either at the same time or sequentially as the arbitrator may direct, to submit full written statements of their case.

65. The arbitrator should always carefully consider whether a documents only procedure is appropriate. He should in all normal circumstances accede to any request that he operates Rule 8.4 in terms of further written statements and/or there be a hearing of not more than one day in duration.

66. Where statements of witnesses are submitted it is important for the arbitrator to know that they contain the words of the witness and not some other person who may have composed the statement, Rule 8.2(b) therefore allows for statements to be signed or otherwise confirmed, for instance by a letter to this effect. The arbitrator retains the right to put either written questions to the parties or to direct a short hearing.
Rule 9

67. This sets out a full procedure where there is a need for the parties to exchange pleadings (the term is not used as such in the Rules). Rule 9.2 sets out guidelines intended to facilitate an efficient exchange of the parties’ respective cases.

68. A statement of defence which does not include the following will not comply with Rule 9.2:

- which of the allegations in the statement of claim are denied
- which allegations the respondent is for good reason unable to deny or to admit but which he requires the claimant to prove
- which allegations are admitted

Where a respondent denies or does not admit an allegation
- he must state his reasons for doing so
- if he intends to put forward a different version of events from that given by the claimant, he must state his own version.

69. These requirements apply equally to the defence of a counter-claim (see the definitions) and to any reply or other answer which the claimant may submit to the defence. If these requirements are not satisfied the arbitrator should issue an order requiring compliance at an early juncture. Failure to comply with such an order may result in the making of a peremptory order under Section 41(5) in the same terms and, if this is not complied with, the operation of the sanctions in Section 41(7).

70. The existence of the provisions for amendment of a statement of claim or defence, disclosure and the like is not a reason for non-compliance with Rule 9.2.

71. Rule 9.6 is included to encourage the reduction of unnecessary and costly oral proceedings. The arbitrator should, however, carefully consider the ramifications of precluding oral submissions or speeches by an advocate before deciding to do so unless this has been agreed by both parties. In any event if written opening or closing submissions are ordered in writing the advocates should be offered the opportunity to make brief oral submissions in clarification.

72. The arbitrator should always bear in mind that Rule 9 gives the parties the right to a hearing and he should not deprive a party of this right without submissions from the parties first.

73. The arbitrator is required to give detailed directions for the preparation and conduct of a hearing, for which he must also fix the overall length and times available to each party. In fixing the length of any hearing or the time available to the parties he should always take into account the interests of the parties. The arbitrator is empowered to require any matters to be submitted in writing.
Rule 10

74. A principal intention of this section is to preserve the claimant’s cash flow in proceedings which he is bound to win but in which the amount of his entitlement has not been ascertained at the stage of the proceedings at which the provisional relief is considered and/or granted.

75. The side note to Section 39 of the Act is wrong and does not form a part of the section. In the absence of specific agreement there is no power to make a provisional award. The arbitrator’s power is limited to the making of an order for provisional relief. The arbitrator is given this power by Rule 10.

76. An order for provisional relief may be made on application from a party and after hearing any objections from the other party.

77. The arbitrator may decide that his obligations under Sections 1 and 33 suggest that an order for provisional relief is appropriate. He should in this event give notice that he intends to make such an order and he must allow the parties to make such submissions as they desire before he does so.

78. An order for provisional relief must be based upon formal evidence in accordance with Rule 5.7. In making such an order the arbitrator should note the provisions of Rule 10.3 regarding the giving of reasons. The arbitrator should remember that compliance with the general duties in section 33 of the Act may require reasons to be given. An arbitrator, before making an order for provisional relief ought to ask if reasons are required. If reasons are given the Parties will know that the decision was made on the right basis. (See also paragraph 40 above).

79. An order for provisional relief is subject to the final adjudication either of the arbitrator who makes it or of any other arbitrator who may have jurisdiction over the dispute to which the order relates.

80. There is an alternative to an order for provisional relief. If it is self evident, having taken into account any defence, set-off or counterclaim, that sums are unquestionably due from one party to the other the arbitrator should, on application, order payment in an award under Section 47 rather than as provisional relief. The arbitrator must however be absolutely certain that he is not deciding something prematurely in doing this.

Rule 11

81. This Rule incorporates powers under Section 41 to dismiss a claim on the ground of inordinate and inexcusable delay or to proceed with the arbitration where one party is in default.

82. The power to make a peremptory order is given under Rule 11.4, where a failure to comply allows the arbitrator to debar the party in default, draw adverse inferences and proceed on the basis of the materials properly provided A peremptory order may be made only after a party has failed without sufficient cause to comply with an earlier order to the same effect (Section 41(5)).

83. Alternatively, Rule 11.3 empowers the arbitrator to achieve the effect of a peremptory order directly through a single order, providing that a party will be debarred or adverse inferences drawn in the event of non-compliance with the original order. This Rule reflects the present practice of many arbitrators.
84. The final sentence of Rule 11.6 makes clear that an award dismissing a claim for inordinate and inexcusable delay will bar the claim from being re-arbitrated. So, by agreement (unless the parties provide otherwise: see note to Rule 1.3), the parties are here removing the doubt in law whether such an arbitral award which has not addressed the merits of the claim would preclude the claimant from re-commencing proceedings. However, preventing a party from re-commencing while the applicable limitation period has not expired would seem to conflict with the statutory policy allowing the period of limitation in which to pursue one's rights: Lezhenby (James) & Co v McNicholas Construction Co Ltd [1995] 3 All ER 820. Therefore, it is suggested that a claim should not be dismissed for delay within the limitation period for that claim.

Rule 12

85. This Rule deals with a variety of matters leading to the award. While the term “interim award” has been dropped from the legislation, Rule 12.1 incorporates the powers under Section 47 to make awards on different issues. It is the practice of many arbitrators to enumerate their awards in the same arbitration sequentially, identifying the matter(s) dealt with in each award as is required by Section 47(3).

86. For the purpose of a hearing on part of the dispute, the arbitrator is specifically empowered to decide what issues are to be determined. Where there is a hearing on part of the dispute, the arbitrator retains the discretion not to give an award or alternatively to make an order for provisional relief under Rule 10.

87. The arbitrator has the widest discretion as to the remedies he may order. Where this includes an order that a party should do some act, the arbitrator has power to supervise or if he thinks fit, appoint some other person to supervise the performance.

88. As a general rule the arbitrator should avoid making an award of specific performance of construction works. An award in damages is normally an alternative. It is far less likely to cause subsequent problems regarding the adequacy of the performance of those works if the cost is ascertained and a money award made, even if this means that the arbitrator has to base his award on an estimate rather than the actual cost of carrying out the work. Some detailed considerations concerning the award of specific performance if both parties are agreed that such an award is appropriate are included in Appendix 2 to these Notes.

89. The powers under Section 49 to award simple or compound interest, and under Section 57 to correct an accidental slip or ambiguity, are incorporated, Rule 12.10 gives the arbitrator the power to notify an award or part as a draft or proposal. Such a notification should be clearly identified as being a draft or a proposal as it may be phrased in such a way that could otherwise create the inference that it should be binding. There is no obligation to do so, but the practice of issuing parts of an award in draft is not uncommon. Issuing an award in draft may lead to the proffering of additional evidence and submissions, as to which the arbitrator is given express powers.

90. The arbitrator should, before making his award on the substantive issues, indicate to the parties that it is his intention, unless the parties agree to the contrary, to reserve his award of costs to be dealt with in a later award and after the parties have been given the opportunity to address him orally or in writing upon his award of costs.
91. The award of interest is at the arbitrator’s discretion. He should not make such an award without allowing the parties to make submissions beforehand. He should always tell the parties if he is considering the award of compound interest so that they may make submissions on this point.

92. The arbitrator should ensure that every conclusion that he reaches is supported by and follows logically from the reasons that he gives. Reasons should be given in respect of all matters that are put to the arbitrator for his decision. The award must not deal with any matters that the parties have not asked the arbitrator to decide.

93. Rule 12.11 gives the arbitrator a discretion to deal with a monetary award where there remains outstanding a counter-claim by the other party which may have the effect of reducing or extinguishing the award in question. In such circumstances, and in order to pre-empt a costly dispute as to enforcement, the arbitrator may order payment of the whole or part of the amount of the award to a stakeholder on terms. The arbitrator may thus seek to achieve summary and substantial justice as between the parties pending his decision on the counter-claim. This should be reflected in the terms upon which the money is ordered to be paid. The arbitrator is not bound to exercise this power and would normally encourage the parties to seek agreement.

Rule 13

94. The general principles to be adopted in regard to the apportionment of costs are set out in Rules 13.1 to 13.3, while preserving the widest discretion to the arbitrator. Rule 13.1 adopts the more direct wording of the UNCITRAL Rules, rather than of Section 61 (costs to follow the event). More detailed guidance on the apportionment of costs is included in Appendix 3 to these Notes.

95. The power to impose a limit on recoverable costs of the arbitration (Section 65) is dealt with the Rules 13.4 to 13.8. The complexity of the dispute should always be considered in addition to the amount in dispute. “Recoverable Costs” includes the arbitrator’s fees (Section 59). An order under Section 65 limits what may be recovered from the other party and has no effect on liability to pay fees incurred. More detailed guidance on the limitation of recoverable costs is included in Appendix 4 to these Notes.

96. Earlier drafts of CIMAR incorporated fixed limits on recoverable costs of 25% of the amount in dispute, and 10% in the case of an arbitration adopting the Short Hearing or Documents Only procedures. While these limits were not in themselves contentious, the general view was that they were insufficiently flexible for the wide range of disputes which might be covered by CIMAR. The Rules, accordingly, empower the arbitrator to fix any limit, having regard to any model procedure issued under the relevant contract (see also notes to Rule 6 above).

97. The effect of an “offer of settlement” is expressly provided for under Rule 13.9, in accordance with established practice. The determinations of recoverable costs by the arbitrator himself is dealt with in Rule 13.10.

Rule 14

98. This Rule incorporates provisions dealing with representation (Section 36), notifications (Section 76) and reckoning of time (Section 78). The parties are required promptly to inform the arbitrator of any settlement or application to the Court.
Appendix 1 Guidance on Security for costs

Rule 4.6 does not follow either section 726(1) of the Companies Act 1985 (see now Rules 25.12 and 25.13 of the CPR), or the former Order 23 of the Rules of the Supreme Court. It applies to any claimant and not just a company. It will apply to a counter-claimant (see the definitions in Appendix 1 to the Act), Section 38(3) of the Act provides no positive guidance as to the way in which the arbitrator should deal with an application that security for costs is ordered but in most cases the principles developed by the courts on applications under the Companies Act should be followed. Thus the arbitrator should split the application into two stages. The first will be addressed to the question: is the claimant unlikely to be able to pay? If that is answered: Yes, then the next stage will concern the questions: should security be ordered and in what amount? If the arbitrator is satisfied that the claimant is likely to be able to pay the costs, the application ought to be dismissed without starting on the second stage. (Note - the Companies Act is however predicated upon the requirement that the tribunal is satisfied that the claimant will be unable to pay which is not the position in CIMAR which sets the test at the level of being unlikely to be able to pay).

Stage 1 requires the arbitrator to assume that the defence will be successful and an award will be made in favour of the applicant. (This assumption may be reviewed, if required by the claimant, but only under the second stage.) An application may be made before the applicant has set out its defence. In such circumstances the arbitrator should require evidence on affidavit of the defence, as provided by Rule 5.7, in order to ensure the bona fides of the applicant. Where the applicant has a counterclaim which raises the same issues as the claim (e.g., where the defence is that the work is defective or that delay makes the claimant liable to the defendant), then the applicant must at the outset agree not to pursue the counterclaim if security is ordered and not provided, so that the claim is stayed. Otherwise no practical purpose will be served by an order for security since the arbitration will continue on the counterclaim (see BJ Crabtree (Insulation) Ltd v GPT Communication Systems Ltd (1990) 59 BLR 43).

Stage 1 will however require the arbitrator to establish the probable amount of costs for which the claimant might be liable, were the claim unsuccessful. The arbitrator has also to take into account any orders for costs that have already been made and not satisfied, since costs which the applicant would not be able to recover must be excluded. The arbitrator may also have to consider whether Rule 13 might be exercised (this gives the arbitrator latitude to depart from the ordinary rule that the loser should pay) if identifiable circumstances exist which make it probable that the claimant would not be required to pay all the applicant’s costs. Under Stage 2, the arbitrator may fix a lower amount (the courts frequently do so - see later) so there need be no concern that the costs assessed or assumed for the purposes of Stage 1 will be those for which security is ordered under Stage 2. Under the first stage the arbitrator has to make a common sense forecast about ability to pay at the time when the award might have to be honoured. (If the claimant is insolvent no forecast is needed.) The question is not whether the claimant might be unable to pay the costs, but whether the claimant is unlikely to be able to pay them, which is a higher degree of probability.

If the claimant is a company then the applicant’s evidence will usually be the latest accounts filed at Companies House. They are rarely up to date and may either not be representative of the present position of the company or be uninformative. A pragmatic approach is justified e.g. if a reputable claimant has always paid its debts then it may be likely to meet its obligations under the award, especially if the alternative is to go out of business. If accounts have not been filed by the date required then some very good reason should be provided, a company ought to be able to file accounts within the time limit required. Companies that do not comply with their statutory obligations may have a reason not to do so, especially if the default is not corrected once the
application is made. A company that wishes to rely upon management accounts to dispel the
inferences to be drawn from the filed accounts (or the absence of such accounts) will usually
need to have such accounts vouched for by the company's auditors or some other reputable
source, as they may contain unjustifiable assumptions, e.g. in the treatment of sales or in the
valuation of assets.

If the claimant is likely to be able to pay the costs and if the application is dismissed, the
arbitrator may leave open the possibility of a further application, should the claimant's
circumstances change. In such event the arbitrator should make it clear that the applicant cannot
rely upon evidence which was or ought to have been available at the time of the original
application which was dismissed.

The second stage requires two fundamental questions to be answered: is the application being
made genuinely to protect the interest of the applicant, or is it being made for an ulterior purpose,
namely to oppress the claimant and to stifle the claimant's claim? Useful guidance is given in
Keary Developments Ltd v. Tarmac Construction Ltd [1995] 3 All ER 534. Although Rule 4.6
gives the arbitrator a wide discretion to take all circumstances into account the policy of CIMAR
must be observed. A claimant who has agreed to arbitrate under CIMAR must be taken to have
accepted the risk of the application of Rule 4.6 and its consequence, namely that security for costs
will be ordered if actual or probable inability to pay the costs is established. A defence that the
applicant has not shown that the claimant will not be able to pay is insufficient. If, therefore, the
conditions are satisfied, an arbitrator must protect the interests of the applicant.

If the claimant argues the claim will be successful in whole or in part, the arbitrator is not required
to decide on the likely outcome and ought only to take account of the claim as it is presented. The
arbitrator should not pre-empt the decision on the merits. Normally, the arbitrator ought not to
consider evidence that might support or undermine either the claim or the defence unless it is
incontrovertible, such as plain admissions in documents. Some claims or defences may need to be
examined sceptically, e.g. where the claim has not been properly quantified. A defendant should
not have to incur costs in dealing with an ill-thought out claim. An applicant who has not
completely revealed its defence to an apparently good claim is at risk in having its bona fides
doubted, especially where the application is made early in the proceedings. The inclusion of a
reference to the strength or weakness of a party's case emphasises that the arbitrator must consider
whether to order security is going to be oppressive to the claimant or whether it is reasonably
necessary to protect the applicant since the pursuit of a claim by a claimant which will not be able
to honour its obligations (if unsuccessful) is also oppressive to an applicant.

An application for security may require an assessment of the cases of each party. Since the
arbitrator will ultimately have to decide the case on its merits, an arbitrator ought to be very careful
about investigating the supposed merits of any case and, preferably, ought only to do so with the
open and informed consent of the parties, for they may not want the arbitrator to delve into the
case, as the views then formed may not be reversed later. If the application does require a decision
on the merits it is strongly recommended that reasons are given for the decision, whether or not a
request is made under Rule 4.7. The parties can then be sure that the arbitrator is not prejudging
the ultimate case.

Sealed offers (variously calderbank or without prejudice offers) may cause difficulties for the
parties where an application for security is made. A claimant may wish to reveal the existence of
a sealed offer made by the respondent in support of the merit of its case but the respondent does
not want the offer made known to the arbitrator as it considers that it may be prejudiced as a
result. This point was considered by the DAC (Departmental Advisory Committee on Arbitration
Law) and its conclusions were set out in paragraph 196 of its Report on the Arbitration Bill dated
February 1996. Paragraph 196 reads “We are not disturbed by this. (the disclosure of an offer) It seems to us that a tribunal, properly performing its duties under Clause (Section) 33 could and should not be influenced by such matters if the case proceeds to a hearing on the merits, nor do we accept that the disclosure of such information could somehow disqualify the tribunal from acting.” The arbitrator should not therefore be concerned that an application for his removal might be successful if he is made aware of an offer that a party considers is privileged and alleges bias as a result. Arbitrators will in any event be aware that offers to settle made by respondents are very often set at a figure that is in excess of that which the respondent considers to be the entitlement of the claimant on a commercial basis in an endeavour to obtain a settlement.

If an arbitrator considers the claimant’s impecuniosity has been caused by the conduct of the applicant then the application may be oppressive and security ought not to be ordered. Such assertions about the conduct of the applicant must however be supported by evidence.

The stage at which the application is made may be another symptom of oppression. A party that is legally represented will almost always have carried out a search at Companies House as soon as the arbitration has started. Late applications tend to be made to fend off the inevitability that a claim is likely to succeed unless it is stopped dead in its tracks. It can be unfair to defer an application since a claimant may reasonably believe that its available resources can be used to prosecute the claim. If the arbitrator considers that the application could and should have been made earlier, then a lower amount of security or even a relatively nominal order might be appropriate.

Amount of Security: In order not to stifle a bona fide claim that might be settled it is customary to require security to be provided in stages. For example, the first order might be up to and including disclosure of documents, the next might be up to and including the exchange of experts' reports or up to and including the pre-hearing review, i.e. any crucial stage which might lead to a resolution of the case. The order should cover both the costs already incurred and those to be incurred. An order up to a given stage must clearly define that stage. An order that does not refer to a stage may be taken to be for the whole proceedings up to award. The amount is in the discretion of the arbitrator but the overall objective of arbitration must always be observed, namely to try to resolve the dispute, if at all possible without a hearing. To require a claimant to provide a substantial amount of security for costs may defeat those ends and bring about an injustice.

The applicant ought to present the arbitral tribunal with a clear, detailed (but without revealing privileged matters) and apparently justified statement of the amount of costs that have been incurred, so that they may be seen to be both tangible and justifiable, and the costs that will be incurred, in each case, making a clear difference between the types of cost, e.g. those of lawyers, experts etc. A legally represented party may retain a costs draftsman to prepare a model bill of costs but it is not necessary to do so, provided it is clear what has been or will be incurred, when, by whom and for what purpose. Arbitrators should view statements of costs with some care as they are not likely to be understated. Although the costs of lawyers and experts can be high it does not follow that they or the hours envisaged will actually be incurred. The amount of security ordered should not be used as an instrument of oppression, although it must give the applicant reasonable protection. It should be the arbitrator’s conservative estimate of the minimum costs which the defendant is likely to incur and to recover. An order for security is not in the nature of an indemnity.
It is sometimes said that a claimant cannot possibly meet the amount that ought to be provided, so its bona fide claim will be not heard. However, if the company cannot provide the amount itself, it may be provided by the shareholders or other backers of a company or the claimant, but at the price of lettering the working capital of the claimant. In turn, it raises the question whether as a result the claimant will have the ability to pay the costs at the end of the day. A balance will therefore have to be struck by the arbitrator. An arbitrator may wish to be persuaded by the claimant that a company or an individual claimant (e.g., the claimant’s family) has no assets before tempering the order that should be made.

A sealed offer is material and may affect the outcome of an application. (See earlier discussion on revealing such offers). The arbitrator must decide whether it may be admitted in evidence, having regard to its terms. If it is admissible an arbitrator should give reasons for the decision so as to ensure that neither party has any reason to question his overall independence and impartiality. The arbitrator may take the view that the amount offered was sensible and that the claimant will be unlikely to receive an award for more and, taking into account Rule 13.9, will thereby be exposed to an award for costs in favour of the applicant. On the other hand, the arbitrator may take the view that the claimant will do better than the amount of the offer, and that the application for security is being made in order to stifle a claim, the merits of which are undoubted, so that in reality the only question is the amount to which the claimant is entitled.

If security is required, the usual order is that the claimant must provide the security within 21 days, failing which the claim is stayed. Security can be in any form satisfactory to the applicant or, in default, to the arbitrator (and the order should say so). Thus it need not be by way of payment to a stakeholder but might be by the provision of a guarantee or bond from a reputable source. If the arbitrator has to decide then he or she will wish to be sure that the security will be immediately enforceable. If the security is not given in the form ordered it is for the respondent to seek a peremptory order under s41(5). (See Rule 4.9). If the security is still not given it is then for the respondent to decide whether an application to dismiss under s41(6) is appropriate. (See Rule 11.6)

Appendix 2 Specific Performance

If both parties are agreed that an award of specific performance is appropriate or if the arbitrator is for some reason unable to ascertain the cost, such an award should never be a final award. Performance of the award may itself be the subject of dispute between the parties and the arbitrator should not disqualify himself from dealing with those disputes.

The award should deal with the way in which the work is to be supervised. The arbitrator may supervise the work himself but if he does so should ensure that his position as arbitrator is in no way compromised thereby.

An independent supervisor may be appropriate but the method of appointment of this individual must be resolved beforehand. Is it to be an appointment by the arbitrator or a joint appointment by the parties? The terms of reference, fees and the method of payment of the supervisor must also be decided beforehand. The arbitrator must make clear his own overall function with regard to the work as it is always possible that one party may take issue with a decision of the supervisor.
When making an award of specific performance the arbitrator should always endeavour to include an alternative award in damages if the award of specific performance is not honoured by a specific date. Similar considerations apply to an award for the specific delivery or handing over of goods, when the arbitrator must be very careful about the rights to the property or to use the property.

It is not normally considered that the completion of construction works is an appropriate subject for an award of specific performance.

Appendix 3 The allocation of costs

Rules 13.1 and 13.2 entitle an arbitrator to allocate the costs of issues and evidence. It is not necessary to await the overall result of the arbitration and then to award costs to follow the ultimate event, i.e., to the “winner”, although in complex cases this may still be fair. An issue that is heard separately may decide the outcome of a dispute or claim, e.g., on jurisdiction, limitation, or the admissibility of a contractual claim. An issue may be one which clears the ground (e.g., on the effect of exclusion clause) and avoids other costs being incurred (e.g., issues of liability alone). An issue may however simply be about part of a case. Before an issue is ordered or accepted on the proposal of the parties the arbitrator should be satisfied that it will be or is likely to be cost-effective. The arbitrator may wish to know the amount of the costs likely to be affected. Seemingly attractive proposals can save relatively small amounts. The arbitrator may also wish to ask a party suggesting or opposing a course whether it accepts liability for the costs of the issue of the arbitration (where the issue is pivotal). In these ways later argument about liability for the costs may be avoided.

Generally a party who proposes an issue of any kind but is unsuccessful on it is liable to bear the costs (as provided by section 61). Similarly a party who tenders evidence or makes a submission that takes up a significant amount of resources and time but which is not accepted (e.g., about a specific head of loss) may be deprived of the costs involved and may be required to meet the costs of the other party, even if otherwise successful. The possibility of such a costs sanction helps to decide whether a part of a claim or a defence is really essential.

Before making an order which applies the general principle to an issue or to part of a case an arbitrator will need to be satisfied both of the amount of costs at stake and that they can be relatively easily assessed.

Summary Assessment: An arbitrator may adopt the modern practice of the courts. Where a hearing of an application or an issue does not last more than a day and the outcome is that a party must pay the costs in any event the amount of those costs can be assessed immediately by the tribunal. However section 63(3) of the Act requires costs to be dealt with by an award so, unless the parties have agreed to a special procedure for the summary assessment of costs, it is thought that a summary assessment following an application will not be effective since the subject-matter of applications are not usually dealt with by an award (i.e., they do not fall within section 47 of the Act). A summary assessment following the hearing of an issue may be the subject of an award.

If the arbitrator intends to make summary assessments then it is essential that a suitable procedure is adopted at the outset of the proceedings [see section 33(1)(b)]. It should not be introduced thereafter without the consent of the parties. The procedure should follow the model used by the courts, e.g., it should provide for a party only to be able to obtain a summary assessment if a properly verified statement of the costs claimed is served on the other party and on the arbitrator not less than 24 hours before the hearing.
In making a summary assessment the arbitrator should receive submissions from every party. The principles are set out in section 63(5). Section 63(3) must be observed (unless otherwise agreed by the parties). In general it is convenient to inquire first whether the rates claimed are or are not agreed. If many cases the rates are not disputed (especially where the paying party submitted a statement with comparable rates). Guideline rates for lawyers are published by the Senior Costs Judge and by TeCSA (www.tecsa.org) and TECBAR. The inquiry may then turn to the time spent and work done. The arbitrator should not need to spend much time on otherwise it will not be a summary assessment.

Appendix 4 The limitation of recoverable costs

The arbitrator may limit recoverable costs in respect of a part or the whole of the reference. He may set a limit on the application of a party or by his own motion. If by his own motion he must allow the parties to make submissions to him before making the order.

The arbitrator may set the limit at the outset of the proceedings or at any stage of the arbitration. If he limits recoverable costs during the course of the proceedings he may only do so in respect of costs not yet incurred. It cannot be done retrospectively.

When a limit is set the arbitrator must have regard primarily to the amount in dispute but must always remember that “Proportionality” does not relate just to money. The matters in dispute may involve complex issues of law the resolution of which may be of such importance to the parties that it may be inappropriate to relate the costs of resolution to the amount of money at stake.

The dispute may not involve any money at all, a declaration may be all that is sought. In that event any limit that is set to costs has to relate to what would be a reasonable sum for resolving the matter.

If the arbitrator has set a limit to recoverable costs it is vital that any award of costs specifically refers to the limit that has been set. It is insufficient for the arbitrator to award, for example, “75% of the Claimant’s costs to be paid by the Respondent” in a situation where a limit has been set without stating that it is 75% of the costs up to the limit or that it is 75% of the limit.

Where a limit of recoverable costs has been set the arbitrator must also be very careful in framing his award of costs to consider the application of a subsequent determination of the quantum of recoverable costs under s 63. The successful party may have incurred costs in excess of the limit. The maximum costs that he is entitled to recover is set at the limit but the amount of the costs he is entitled to recover under s 63(5) may actually be less than the limit.

A suitable form of words in this situation would be:
“The Respondent shall pay the Claimant’s costs up to (the limit). Where the paying party considers that (the limit) exceeds the amount that should properly be paid in accordance with s 63(5), those costs shall [be determined by award by me under the provisions of s 63,3] or [ be determined by the court under s 63(4)].”
All parties must rely exclusively upon their own skill and judgment or upon those of their advisers when using this document and neither Sweet & Maxwell nor its associated companies assume any liability to any user or any third party in connection with such use.